

CLIMATEGATE 2 Sensational Email Release: Durban Conference Derailed

The world's media is recoiling in astonishment at the sudden release of a massive new cache of Climategate emails that are further damning proof of how politics manufactured the man-made global warming agenda.

The emails have made available once again in a free for all from a Russian server called Sinwt.ru. Mysteriously, the server has since gone offline. However, thousands of bloggers still had time to download and share copies of the new release of a 173MB zip file called "FOIA2011."

Analysts are convinced the release was timed to cause maximum impact on this week's international COP 17 [climate summit in Durban](#). Unless a global greenhouse gas reduction deal is signed at Durban nothing will replace the Kyoto Protocol that expires in 2012. As such international agreement on greenhouse gas reduction targets will be dead in the water.

The notable difference between the 'Climategate1.0' and the new '2.0 version' is that the whistleblower this time has added his/her own personal message which includes the plea, "Today's decisions should be based on all the information we can get, not on hiding the decline" (a cutting reference to doomsayer, Dr. Michael Mann's shabby statistical graph trick).

The Climategate 2.0 data file contains more than 5,000 new emails that make a mockery of five 'whitewash' investigations conducted after the first Climategate scandal.

A full taster menu of the sensational revelation can be found at [Tallbloke's](#) website and discussion and analysis is already fervently under way on popular skeptic blogs [Watts Up With That](#), [Climate Audit](#), [TallBloke](#) and [The Air Vent](#). Also, not to be outdone, Daily Telegraph's popular skeptic writer, [James Delingpole](#) has his own take on the story.

An embarrassed University of East Anglia has issued a swift public announcement refusing to explicitly confirm or deny if the new release is genuine. But a spokesperson conceded, "these emails have the appearance of having been held back after the theft of data and emails in 2009."

Michael Mann Firmly in the Dock for Data Abuse Fraud

Many of the new disclosures will cause considerable distress to Dr. Michael Mann but profound joy to Dr. Tim Ball. Mann is currently suing Ball for libel in the Supreme Court of British Columbia after Ball expressed the opinion that Mann belongs in ["the state pen, not Penn State."](#) But what the new body of emails proves is that a clique of climate scientists knew that Michael Mann's hockey stick graph was junk science.

Mann is director of the Earth System Science Center at Penn State University and is currently [trying to block a Virginia Court ruling](#) ordering his former employers, the University of Virginia, to release withheld files

from their archives to disgruntled taxpayers suspicious of grant fraud.

The following excerpts give just a flavor of the huge headache Mann's attorney, Roger McConchie, will have in court trying to present his client as a credible and respected researcher.

<4369> Cook:

"I am afraid that Mike [Mann] is defending something that increasingly can not be defended. He is investing too much personal stuff in this and not letting the science move ahead."

<5055> Cook:

"One problem is that he [Mann] will be using the RegEM method, which provides no better diagnostics (e.g. betas) than his original method. So we will still not know where his estimates are coming from."

Disgraced British climate professor, Phil Jones is mired as much as Mann for his part in the sleazy cover up.

Jones:

[on FOI and temperature data]

"Any work we have done in the past is done on the back of the research grants we get – and has to be well hidden. I've discussed this with the main funder (US Dept of Energy) in the past and they are happy about not releasing the original station data."

Mann reacted with his usual characteristic petulance at the release describing it as "truly pathetic." By contrast, Dr. Ball was elated at the news. Commenting by email Dr. Ball said:

"These comments are even more damaging than the last. They show the political bias, the control of publications and the fact they were very aware that what they were doing was wrong. I am taking it to my lawyer this morning."

Mann Confirms New Tranche of Climategate Emails 'Genuine'

[The Air Vent](#) hit the ground running with this story this morning and was quick to verify the provenance of the emails. The blog cites a quote from Michael Mann in a leading left-wing, pro- environmentalist daily newspaper, the UK *Guardian*:

"When asked if they were genuine, he said: "Well, they look like mine but I hardly see anything that appears damning at all, despite them having been taken out of context. I guess they had very little left to work with, having culled in the first round the emails that could most easily be taken out of context to try to make me look bad.""

The *Guardian* story '[Fresh round of hacked climate science emails leaked online.](#)' rushes to defend the shamed climate scientists with their own green spin on the release:

"agents doing the dirty bidding of the fossil fuel industry know they can't

contest the fundamental science of human-caused climate change. So they have instead turned to smear, innuendo, criminal hacking of websites, and leaking out-of-context snippets of personal emails in their effort to try to confuse the public about the science and thereby forestall any action to combat this critical threat. Its right out of the tried-and-true playbook of climate change denial."

Only 5.000 emails from the 220.000 or so have made available to be easily read online. The rest are password protected for various reasons unstated by the whistleblower. The anonymous source added, "We are not planning to publicly release the passphrase. We could not read every one, but tried to cover the most relevant topics."

Implications for the Stalled Norfolk Police Investigation

On the police front the 'boys in blue' from East Anglia, who have been stumbling along without any apparent progress for two years in their original Climategate investigation earlier today simply announced that "the new set of emails" are "of interest."

An added bonus to this bumper bonanza is that it also provides an opportunity to compare some of the explanations made by the disgraced so-called 'hockey team' players after Climategate 1.0. The 'hockey team' reference is from Mann's so-called 'hockey stick' graph that controversially shows unprecedented warming during the last century. The lack of any emails post-dating the 2009 release suggests that the latest cache were obtained at the same time, but held back.

A careful comparison between the 'hockey player's' recent media pronouncements and what they actually state in this new batch of correspondence will put their credibility firmly back under the microscope. But the least we can be sure of is that these new revelations will further derail an already blighted Durban climate conference say [Chinese sources](#).

John O'Sullivan
November 22, 2011